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META - CIRCULARITY LEVEL
\[ A \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \]

Where:
- \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 \\ -10 & 100 \end{bmatrix} \)
- \( B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \)
- \( C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \)
- \( D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \)

The question asks if \( A \) and \( C \) are \( co \)-\( variate \).
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\[2H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O\]
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MATCH PHASE
match_set = {match_1, match_2}
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NON-DETERMINISM
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"KEEP POTTING THE GENIUS MILLS"
\text{Overlap?}

\text{Scheduling Language} \rightarrow \text{Data Flow}

\rightarrow \text{Code. Pure Code}

\leftrightarrow \text{Try All - MetaGraph}
MATCH ALL PATTERN UNI PAC/NAC

MATCH FAST

SELECT ONE RANDOMLY (BUT REPEATABLY)

TRY ALL IN /
CHECK FOR OVERLAP BETWEEN M, N, or
- Select one randomly (but repeatable)
- Try all in // check for overlap between $m, n, k$
FUJABA

SCHEDULING LANG: Activity Diagram

IMPLEMENTATION

DATA STRUCT.
AMALGAMATED RULES

AREN'T REINSINK

"KEEP TELLING THE GENIUS!!"
\text{match at } \{ m_1, m_2 \}

\text{OVERLAP?}

\text{SCHEDULING LANG} \rightarrow \text{DATA FLOW}

\rightarrow \text{CODE by T. Core}

\leftrightarrow \text{Try All} \rightarrow \text{REACHGRAPH}