### Synchronous Reactive Languages Lustre

Alexandre Denault Comp-763B Winter 2008

# Outline

- What's a Synchronous Reactive Language?
- A Introduction to Lustre
- Verification, Simulation and Execution
- A Simple Example
- Esterel

# Synchronous Languages

#### What?

- Time is expressed as a series of external events.
- Events are processed at regular intervals.
- It's like having a clock.
- Why?
  - It's easier to implement in hardware.
  - It's easier to verify.

### Concerns

 If you want to simulate asynchronous behavior, you need to make sure your clock ticks are small enough.

# **Reactive Systems**

### What?

- Systems that have a relationship with their environments. (Harel)
- Their behavior is to react to external stimuli.
- Why?
  - Because reactive systems are easier to map onto hardware.

## Lustre

- First created in 1983.
- Commercially transformed to Scade in 1993 by Verilog.
- Esterel Technologies now own the rights to Scade.
- Active research is done by the Synchrone group from the Verimag research center.
- It is not a file system !

# Application

### Cases studies on Lustre

- Electrical load distribution on A380 aircraft
- Gyroscope in Indian Aircraft industry
- Ariane v launcher, experimental version
- Companies using Scade (success stories)
  - Airbus
  - Pratt & Whitney
  - CS Canada
  - Eurocopter

# **Deeper look at Lustre**

- A control module in Lustre is called a node.
- Lustre modules operate on streams.
- Streams can be booleans or numericals.



N. Halbwachs, F. Lagnier and C. Ratel.; Programming and verifying critical systems by means of the synchronous data-flow programming language Lustre.; IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Special Issue on the Specification and Analysis of Real-Time Systems. September 1992.

# Syntax Examples

### On boolean values:

- A = X and not Y
- A = X xor Y
- A = if X then Y else Z
- On numerical values
  - A = X + Y
  - A = X − Y
  - A = X div Y
  - A = if (X > Y) then X else Y
  - $A = if (X \le Z)$  then X else Y

# **Pre Operator**

The pre operator allows us to refer the n position of a stream as the n-1 position of another stream.



### -> Operator

The -> operator is used to initialize streams.

$$\frac{X:x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}}{Y:y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{5} y_{6}} \qquad Node \\ Z = X ->Y \qquad Z:x_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{5} y_{6} \\ - Y = X ->Y$$

### **Pre and -> combined**

The Pre and -> operators are most useful when combined.

$$\frac{X:x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}x_{4}x_{5}x_{6}}{Y:y_{1}y_{2}y_{3}y_{4}y_{5}y_{6}} \qquad Node \\ Z = X->pre(Y) \qquad \frac{Z:x_{1}y_{1}y_{2}y_{3}y_{4}y_{5}}{I}$$

- Verification: We can run verifications on the model to insure that catastrophic situations cannot occur.
- Simulation: We can use a simulator to verify that the model functions correctly.
- Execution: We can generate code from the model, and then integrate that code into the target platform, where it will be executed.

# Verification

- We can establish safety properties for our system:
  - In a Y segment, only one car at a time should be merging in.
  - Landing gear should not retract while plane is landing.
- Verification is the action of insuring that these safety properties are never violated.
- In Lustre, safety properties are described as assertions, and must always be true.

# **Temporal Logic**

Describing certain assertions requires temporal logic.

Any occurrence of a critical situation causes an alarm, which must be sustained within a five seconds delay.

#### This statement could be generalized to

Any occurrence of event A must cause the condition B to be true until the next occurrence of C.

- This requires knowledge of the futur, which Lustre does not.
- However, this can be rewritten as

Any time A has occurred in the past, either B has been continuously true, or C has occurred at least once, since the last occurrence of A.

# **Various Forms of Lustre**

#### Lustre: .lus

- This contains the Lustre nodes in their textual format.
- Lustre-Esterel Common Format: .oc
  - The Lustre application gets reduced to a FSA.
  - It can then be optimized.
- C source code: .c
  - The FSN gets transformed into C source code.



### **Finite State Automaton**

node EDGE(x: bool) returns (y: bool);
Y = false -> X and not pre(X)



## **Simple Example**





## **Train Track**





## **Ins and Outs**

#### lns

- on\_A : Is there a train on track segment A?
- on\_B : Is there a train on track segment B?
- on\_C : Is there a train on track segment C?
- ack\_AB : Is track in the AB position?
- ack\_BC : Is track in the BC position?
- Outs
  - grant\_access : Should access light be green?
  - grant\_exit : Should exit light be green?
  - do\_AB : Should track switch in the AB position?
  - do\_BC : Should track switch in the BC position?

### **Lustre Model**

node UMS(on\_A,on\_B,on\_C,ack\_AB,ack\_BC: bool)
 returns (grant\_access,grant\_exit,
 do\_AB,do\_BC: bool);
var empty\_section, only\_on\_B: bool;
let

grant\_access = empty\_section and ack\_AB; grant\_exit = only\_on\_B and ack\_BC; do\_AB = not ack\_AB and empty\_section; do\_BC = not ack\_BC and only\_on\_B; empty\_section = not(on\_A or on\_B or on\_C); only\_on\_B = on\_B and not(on\_A or on\_C);

tel

### **Verification Statements**

```
node UMS verif(on A, on B, on C,
       ack AB, ack BC: bool)
     returns(property: bool);
var
   grant access,grant exit: bool;
   do AB, do BC: bool;
   no collision, exclusive req: bool;
   no derail AB, no derail BC: bool;
   empty section, only on B: bool;
let
   empty section = not(on A or on B or on C);
   only on B = on B and not(on A or on C);
   -- ASSERTIONS
   assert not(ack AB and ack BC);
   assert true ->
    always from to (ack AB, ack AB, do BC);
   assert true ->
    always from_to(ack_BC,ack_BC,do_AB);
   assert empty section -> true;
```

```
assert true -> implies(edge(not
 empty section), pre grant access);
assert true -> implies(edge(on C), pre
grant exit);
assert true -> implies (edge (not on A), on B);
assert true -> implies (edge (not on B), on A
 or on C);
-- UMS CALL
(grant access, grant exit, do AB, do BC) =
UMS (on A, on B, on C, ack_AB, ack_BC);
-- PROPERTIES
no collision =
 implies(grant access,empty section);
exclusive req = not(do AB and do BC);
no derail AB = always from to (ack AB,
grant access, only on B);
no derail BC = always from to (ack BC,
grant exit, empty section);
property = no collision and exclusive req and
no derail AB and no derail BC;
```

## Simulation

🔀 Luciole 1.72 - UMS			
<u>Files</u> Options	<u>C</u> locks	<u>T</u> ools	Inputs 0
🗆 on_A	grant_access		
🗆 on_B	grant_exit do_AB		
🔲 on_C			
🔲 ack_AB			
ack_BC	do_BC		
Step			

### Implementation



### **Esterel**

- First created in 1982
- Commercially transformed in 1999
- Last open version of Esterel is 5.92, and was released in 2000
- A commercial version of Esterel is available from Esterel Technologies
- The Open Esterel tools were mostly developed by the Inria research center.

Gérard Berry; The Foundations of Esterel; To appear in Proof, Language and Interaction: Essays in Honour of Robin Milner, G. Plotkin, C. Stirling and M. Tofte, editors, MIT Press, 1998

Esterel Website: http://www-sop.inria.fr/esterel.org/files/

## **Lustre vs Esterel**

#### Lustre

- Declarative Language
  - Describes what is to be computed.

```
Node ABRO(A,B,R: bool)
    returns (O: bool);
let
    O = R and (A or B);
tel
```

#### Esterel

- Imperative Language
  - Describes how this is to be computer.

```
module ABRO:
input A, B, R;
output O;
```

```
loop
[ await A || await B ];
emit O
each R
```

end module

# Conclusion

- Lustre is a Synchronous Reactive Language
- It operates on streams (input and output)
- Models in Lustre can be Verified, Simulated and Execute
- I can draw cool trains
- Similarities between Lustre and Esterel