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I. INTRODUCTION

For the past several decades, the staple application of robots has been in manufacturing where they have been a key technology to improve factory performance, and efficiency [1]. Where in manufacturing robots are often immobile, recently there is a growing interest in mobile robots. For example, the deep space probes of NASA, unmanned autonomous vehicles (UAV) in general, the Aibo robotic toy, the Roomba robot vacuum, and the Toyota partner robots.

At present, most of these robots are of a more or less experimental nature, but they herald an era in which automated physical manipulation will be as ubiquitous as embedded computing has become.

With increasing capabilities of future mobile robots come increasing responsibilities. Three essential criteria for a successful realization of this view can be identified as:

- **Safety**—Clearly when robots become mobile, they should operate in a manner that is safe to humans in every sense.
- **Security**—As potential agents for malicious intent, robots should be secure against hostile take over.
- **Robustness**—There are two forms of required robustness: (i) in mixed-initiative interaction (robot to robot as well as human to robot) and (ii) in handling of uncertainties.

To produce robots that qualify against these criteria, methodological and technological advances are required that support a successful design. Because of the complexity of the physics
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as well as the control and information technology that is immanent in robotic systems, combined with the need to understand and design the interaction between these elements, a multi-disciplinary approach is a prerequisite for success. The multi-disciplinary character is of a twodimensional nature:

- **Vertical**—High-level synthesized robust control laws need to be transformed into a representation that ultimately can be implemented in a computer architecture.
- **Horizontal**—Design teams with expertise in the different areas need to collaborate so that, for example, a control law can be designed in conjunction with a physical assembly given the quality-of-service of a sensor network.

Ideally, the separate systems can then be integrated in a predictable and straightforward manner.

This position statement outlines a set of methodologies and technologies that need to be developed and mastered over the next five to ten years in an attempt to address the criteria sketched.

II. A Model-Based Design Roadmap

A Model-Based Design roadmap is outlined by methodological and technological advanced along a number of different dimensions: (i) control engineering, (ii) fault detection and isolation, and (iii) systems engineering. Furthermore, a number of necessary developments in foundational methodologies and technologies are posited. This is not intended to be an exhaustive overview and areas such as signal processing and machine intelligence, albeit critical, are not specifically addressed.

A. System Engineering

Methodologies:

- Standardize on an architecture (e.g., four layers consisting off: a planner, a supervisor, loop control, a plant).
- Develop a platform-based design approach.
- Establish a framework for compositionality.
- Direct reliability approaches towards highly dependable and configurable systems.

Technologies:
Formally model transformations of models.
Being able to statically verify dynamic properties of models (as well as transformation models).
Certify adaptive systems.
Develop a middleware platform, in particular a platform that fits the compositionality framework.

B. Control Engineering

Methodologies:
- Cultivate robust control approaches to become more accessible.
- Further the state of reconfiguration control at a supervisory level.
- Advance distributed and collaborative control methods.
- Research exploiting nondeterminism in control.

Technologies:
- Automate robust control design methods.

C. Fault Detection and Isolation

Methodologies:
- Adapt health monitoring methodologies to highly dependable systems.

Technologies:
- Employ watchdog prognostic technology for highly dependable systems.
- Establish diagnostic technology, for example to aid in debugging of mixed-initiative systems.
- Develop robotic reconfiguration technology, for example, for plan-driven systems.

D. Foundations

Methodologies:
- Advance and focus nondeterministic as well as probabilistic modeling.
- Solidify approaches to heterogeneous modeling and execution of such models.
- Develop frameworks for efficient and effective model transformation and model (including transformation model) evolution.
• Research approaches to inconsistency management and consistency maintenance.

Technologies:
• Provide automated modeling facilities.
• Support semantic anchoring of domain-specific modeling formalisms.

III. A TOOLS PERSPECTIVE

Where much robotics research still relies on physical prototyping, for example, in the study of bipedal motion, production-based robots will put forward a need for extensive use of computational models. Such Model-Based Design has shown to be essential to be competitive in industrial areas such as Automotive, Aerospace, and Consumer Electronics, and it will be critical for a future Robotics Industry as well.

The nature of robotics calls for broad horizontal tool support across many different domains such as control design, signal processing, physics modeling, computer engineering, and artificial intelligence. Specific effort in the different domains needs to be related to each other and vertical traceability from requirements to implementation must be supported. The need for Model-Based Design will, therefore, drive extensive adoption of products that facilitate a comprehensive tool chain to support the approach of using computational models for design.
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