Devslang and DEVS operational semantics

Ernesto Posse

25th August 2004



Outline

- **✗** Introduction
- ✗ Devslang
- **✗** Formal operational semantics
- **✗** Future work

- ★ DEVS: "Discrete EVent System specification formalism"
- ✗ A formalism for modelling and simulating timed, discrete-event, composite, reactive/interactive systems.

✗ Timed: A system "runs" over continuous time

- ✗ Timed: A system "runs" over continuous time
- ✗ Discrete-event: In any given closed time-interval, only a finite number of events occur

- **X** Timed: A system "runs" over continuous time
- ✗ Discrete-event: In any given closed time-interval, only a finite number of events occur
- X Composite: a system can be a collection of interconnected subsytems.

- **X** Timed: A system "runs" over continuous time
- X Discrete-event: In any given closed time-interval, only a finite number of events occur
- X Composite: a system can be a collection of interconnected subsytems.
- **X** Reactive: a system can always react to external stimuli

- X Timed: A system "runs" over continuous time
- X Discrete-event: In any given closed time-interval, only a finite number of events occur
- X Composite: a system can be a collection of interconnected subsytems.
- **X** Reactive: a system can always react to external stimuli
- ✗ Interactive: a system interacts with its environment (or components interact with each other)

- Two types of DEVS components:
 - Atomic (or behavioural)
 - Coupled (or structural)

• An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - *Y* is a set of possible *output values*

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - *Y* is a set of possible *output values*
 - *S* is a (possibly uncountable) set of *states*

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - *Y* is a set of possible *output values*
 - S is a (possibly uncountable) set of *states*
 - δ^{int} : $S \rightarrow S$ is an internal transition function

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - *Y* is a set of possible *output values*
 - S is a (possibly uncountable) set of *states*
 - δ^{int} : $S \rightarrow S$ is an internal transition function
 - $\lambda : S \rightarrow Y \cup \{\bot\}$ is an *output function*

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - Y is a set of possible output values
 - S is a (possibly uncountable) set of *states*
 - $\delta^{int}: S \rightarrow S$ is an internal transition function
 - λ : *S* \rightarrow *Y* \cup { \perp } is an *output function*
 - $\tau: S \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0, \infty\}$ is a time-advance function

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible input values
 - Y is a set of possible output values
 - S is a (possibly uncountable) set of *states*
 - $\delta^{int}: S \rightarrow S$ is an internal transition function
 - $\lambda : S \rightarrow Y \cup \{\bot\}$ is an *output function*
 - $\tau: S \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0, \infty\}$ is a time-advance function
 - δ^{ext} : $Q \times X \to S$ is an external transition function, where $Q \stackrel{def}{=} \{(s, e) | s \in S \text{ and } 0 \le e \le \tau(s)\}$

- An *atomic DEVS component* is a tuple $(X, Y, S, \delta^{int}, \delta^{ext}, \lambda, \tau, s_0)$ where:
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - Y is a set of possible output values
 - S is a (possibly uncountable) set of states
 - $\delta^{int}: S \rightarrow S$ is an internal transition function
 - $\lambda : S \rightarrow Y \cup \{\bot\}$ is an *output function*
 - $\tau: S \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0, \infty\}$ is a time-advance function
 - δ^{ext} : $Q \times X \to S$ is an external transition function, where $Q \stackrel{def}{=} \{(s, e) | s \in S \text{ and } 0 \le e \le \tau(s)\}$
 - $s_0 \in S$ is an *initial state*





Straight MSDL



- A coupled DEVS component is a tuple (X, Y, N, C, infl, Z, sel) where
 - X is a set of possible *input values*
 - *Y* is a set of possible *output values*
 - N is a set of *component names*
 - C is a set of *components* (atomic or coupled) indexed by N
 - $infl: N \rightarrow 2^N$ is an *influencer function*
 - Z is a family of *transfer functions:*

$$Z \subseteq \{Z_{i,j} : Y_i \to X_j | i, j \in N \text{ and } i \in infl(j)\}$$
$$\cup \{Z_{\mathsf{self},k} : X \to X_k | \mathsf{self} \in infl(k)\}$$
$$\cup \{Z_{k,\mathsf{self}} : Y_k \to Y | k \in infl(\mathsf{self})\}$$

- $sel: 2^N \rightarrow N$ is a selection function

- Devslang is a language to represent DEVS models
- We need some representation for DEVS components:
 - ...to exchange models between different DEVS simulators
 - ...to be able to describe DEVS models in a more user-friendly fashion
 - ...to serve as the target representation for models in other formalisms
 - ...to take advantage of compiler technologies to generate efficient simulators

• Components:

```
component Name(parameters) =
  inports a,b,c
  outports d,e
  ...
end
```

• Atomic components:

```
component Name(parameters) =
  inports a,b,c
  outports d,e
  atomic
   ...
  end
end
```

• Coupled components:

```
component Name(parameters) =
  inports a,b,c
  outports d,e
  coupled
   ...
  end
end
```

```
• Atomic components:
```

```
atomic
  mode-definition-1
  ...
  mode-definition-n
  initial mode-invocation
end
```

• Mode definitions:

```
mode name1(params1) =
   ...
end
```

• Mode invocation

name1(args)

• Mode definitions:

```
mode name1(params1) =
    external-transitions
    after time-expr -> mode-invocation
    out output-record
end
```

• Mode definitions:

```
mode name1(params1) =
   condition-1 -> mode-invocation-1,
   ...
   condition-n -> mode-invocation-n
   after time-expr -> mode-invocation
   out output-record
end
```

- Variables that can be used in expressions:
 - input port names
 - parameters (mode and component)
 - elapsed
 - infinity

```
component Generator(period,value) =
  inports none
  outports y
  atomic
  mode active(next) =
    after next -> active(period)
    out {y: value}
  end
  initial active(period)
  end
end
```

```
component Generator(period,value) =
  inports x
  outports y
  atomic
   mode active(next) =
      any -> active(next - elapsed)
      after next -> active(period)
      out {y: value}
   end
   initial active(period)
  end
end
```

- Configuration: (*state*, *time*)
- Event: int(t, v) or ext(t, v)
- Trace of execution: Sequence of configurations

A = Generator(2, "a")

State	Last trans	Event
active(2)	0	
		int(2,"a")
active(2)	2	
		int(4,"a")
active(2)	4	
		ext(4.5,x)
active(1.5)	4.5	
		int(6,"a″)
active(2)	6	
•••		

```
component Store(response_time) =
  inports x
  outports y
  atomic
  mode receiving(next, data) =
    x = ("put", value) -> receiving(next-elapsed, value)
    x = "get" -> responding(response_time, data)
    any -> receiving(next-elapsed, data)
    after infinity -> any
    out nothing
  end
```

-- continues below

```
mode responding(next, data) =
    any -> responding(next - elapsed, data)
    after next -> receiving(infinity, data)
    out {y: data}
    end
    initial receiving(infinity, nothing)
    end
end
```

```
component Processor(response_time, function) =
  inports x
  outports y
  atomic
  mode receiving(next) =
    any   -> busy(response_time, x)
    after next -> receiving(response_time)
    out nothing
  end
```

-- continues below

```
mode busy(next, job) =
    any -> busy(next - elapsed, job)
    after next -> receiving(response_time)
    out {y: function(job)}
    end
    initial receiving(response_time)
    end
end
```

```
• Atomic components:
```

```
coupled
  component-instantiation-1
  ...
  component-instantiation-n
  connections
     connection-1
   ...
     connection-m
   select expr
end
```

• Component instantiation:

instance-name = component-name(arguments)

or

instance-name = component-definition

• Connection

from outport **to** inport **trans** expr

```
component SimpleCoupled(function) =
    inports none
    outports y
    coupled
    G = Generator(1.0, "a")
    P = Processor(2.5, function)
    connections
    from G.y to P.x trans G.y + "b"
    from P.y to y trans P.y
    select P
    end
end
```

- We want a semantics for Devslang and DEVS itself which is...
 - abstract: independent of specific simulation algorithms and engines, and for which we can apply *formal methods*
 - ...but not too abstract: close enough to the general idea of simulation/execution.

- Labelled transition systems (LTS)!
- A labelled transition system is a tuple (S, A, \rightarrow) where:
 - S is a set of states
 - A is a set of labels, representing actions, conditions or events
 - $\rightarrow \subseteq S \times A \times S$ is a *transition relation*. We write $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ to mean $(s, a, s') \in \rightarrow$
- LTS are not FSA!

- Each DEVS component A determines an LTS $\mathcal{M}(A) = (\mathbf{Configs}_A, \mathbf{Evts}_A, \rightarrow_A)$ where
 - Configs_A is the set of all A-configurations of the form (s,t)
 - **Evts**_A is the set of all A-events of the form int(t, v) or ext(t, v)

- ...and (for atomic components) \rightarrow_A is the relation which satisfies:
 - Internal transitions (AIT): $(s, t_l) \xrightarrow{int(t,\lambda(s))}_A (\delta^{int}(s), t)$ if $t = t_l + \tau(s)$ External transitions (AET): $(s, t_l) \xrightarrow{ext(t,x)}_A (\delta^{ext}((s, t t_l), x), t)$ if $t \leq t_l + t_l$
 - $\tau(s)$

- ...and (for coupled components) \rightarrow_A is the relation which satisfies:
- External transition (CET): $(\rho, t_l) \xrightarrow{ext(t,x)} B(\rho', t)$ if
 - 1. for each $n \in N$ such that self $\in infl(n)$ and $x_n \neq \perp$, $\rho(n) \xrightarrow{\text{ext}(t,x_n)}_n \rho'(n)$, where $x_n \stackrel{def}{=} Z_{\text{self},n}(x)$,
 - 2. and for all $n \in N$ such that self $\notin infl(n)$ or $x_n = \bot$, $\rho(n) = \rho'(n)$, where $x_n \stackrel{def}{=} Z_{self,n}(x)$

...and

• Internal transition (CIT): $(\rho, t_l) \xrightarrow{int(t,y)} B(\rho', t)$ if

1.
$$\rho(i^*) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{int}(t,y^*)}_{i^*} \rho'(i^*)$$
,

- 2. for each $n \in N$ such that $i^* \in infl(n)$ and $n \neq \text{self}$, $\rho(n) \xrightarrow{\text{ext}(t,x_n)}_n \rho'(n)$ where $x_n = Z_{i^*,n}(y^*)$,
- 3. for all $n \in N$ such that $n \neq i^*$ and $i^* \notin infl(n)$, $\rho(n) = \rho'(n)$,
- 4. and $y = Z_{i^*,self}(y^*)$ if $i^* \in infl(self)$ or $y = \perp$ if $i^* \notin infl(self)$
- where i^{*} = sel(imm(ρ)), and imm(ρ) is the set of imminent components that is, of components which have a minimal time-to-next-transition.

- Behavioural equivalence: having the "same" behaviour (bisimilarity)
- If A and B are behaviourally equivalent, then
 - an observer should not be able to distinguish between them...
 - ...therefore we should be able to replace one by the other in any context
- An equivalence relation \sim is called a *congruence* if it is preserved by all contexts:
 - If $A \sim B$ then $C[A] \sim C[B]$ for all contexts C[-]

- Compositionality: the meaning of a system is determined only by the meaning of its parts
- Why is compositionality important:
 - Simplicity of semantics
 - Efficiency of execution, simulation, analysis, optimization (example: separate compilation)

- An operational semantics is compositional w.r.t. a behavioural equivalence, if the equivalence is a congruence
- If A ~ B but C[A] ≁ C[B] then the meaning of C[-] is not determined only by its parts

Theorem. Strong bisimilarity is a congruence for DEVS

Future work

- ★ Devslang interpreter/simulator
- **X** Types
- **✗** Fully-abstract semantics
- **X** Possible application of model-checking techniques
- ✗ Statecharts-to-DEVS transformation
- X Variable-structure systems

####